

Blaine D. Gilman, Esq.
 Emily A.J. Hall, Esq.
 Gilman & Pevehouse
 130 South Willow, Suite 3
 Kenai, Alaska 99611
 Tel: (907) 283-2600
 Fax: (907) 283-2009
 Email: bdgilman@gilmanlawak.com
ejhall@gilmanlawak.com

Attorneys for Defendants/Cross-Complainants, Helen Knopp,
 as Personal Representative Of the Estate of Gary Knopp,
 deceased, Helen Knopp, individually And G & H Construction

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 FOR THE DISTRICT OF ALASKA**

**William Alan Hulsey, Individually and as)
 Personal Representative of the Estate of)
 Caleb Parker Hulsey, deceased,)**

**William Alan Hulsey, Individually and as)
 Personal Representative of the Estate of)
 Mackay Alexander Hulsey, deceased,)**

**William Alan Hulsey, Individually and as)
 Personal Representative of the Estate of)
 Heather Nicole Dillard Hulsey, deceased,)**

Plaintiffs,)

v.)

**Helen Knopp, as Personal Representative)
 Of The Estate Of Gary Knopp, deceased,)
 Helen Knopp, individually and G & H)
 Construction; High Adventure Air)
 Charter Guides And Outfitters, Inc.;)
 Soldotna Aircraft & Equipment Leasing,)
 LLC; Cindy Lynn Rainey-Bell as)
 Personal Representative of the Estate)
 Of Gregory Bell,)**

Defendants.)

Case No.: 3:21-cv-00051-JWS

Helen Knopp, Individually and as)
 The Personal Representative of the)
 Estate of Gary Knopp,)
)
 Cross-Complainants,)
)
 vs.)
)
 High Adventure Air Charter Guides)
 And Outfitters, Inc.; Cindy Lynn)
 Rainey-Bell as Personal Representative)
 Of the Estate of Gregory Bell,)
)
 Cross-Defendants.)
)

ANSWER TO PLAINTIFFS' FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND DEMAND FOR JURY AND CROSS COMPLAINT

ANSWER

Defendants, Helen Knopp, as Personal Representative of the Estate of Gary Knopp, deceased; Helen Knopp, individually and G & H Construction (“answering defendants”), answer plaintiffs’ first amended complaint for damages and demand for jury trial as follows:

INTRODUCTION

1. With regard to paragraph 1 of plaintiffs’ amended complaint, answering defendants admit the allegations contained therein.

SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION

2. With regard to paragraph 2 of plaintiffs’ amended complaint, answering defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and hereby deny the same.

3. With regard to paragraph 3 of Plaintiffs’ amended complaint, answering defendants admit the allegations contained therein.

4. With regard to paragraph 4 of plaintiffs' amended complaint, answering defendants admit the allegations contained therein.

5. With regard to paragraph 5 of plaintiffs' amended complaint, answering defendants admit the allegations contained therein.

6. With regard to paragraph 6 of plaintiffs' amended complaint, answering defendants admit the allegations contained therein.

7. With regard to paragraph 7 of plaintiffs' amended complaint, answering defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and hereby deny the same.

8. With regard to paragraph 8 of plaintiffs' amended complaint, answering defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and hereby deny the same.

9. With regard to paragraph 9 of plaintiffs' amended complaint, answering defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and hereby deny the same.

10. With regard to paragraph 10 of plaintiffs' amended complaint, answering defendants admit the allegations contained therein.

11. With regard to paragraph 11 of plaintiffs' amended complaint, answering defendants admit the allegations contained therein.

12. With regard to paragraph 12 of plaintiffs' amended complaint, answering defendants admit the allegations contained therein.

IN PERSONAM JURISDICTION

13. With regard to paragraph 13 of plaintiffs' amended complaint, answering defendants admit "[t]he defendants, and each of them, are citizens of and engaged in substantial activities within Alaska". Answering defendants deny any further allegations contained therein.

14. With regard to paragraph 14 of plaintiffs' amended complaint, answering defendants admit the allegations contained therein.

15. With regard to paragraph 15 of plaintiffs' amended complaint, answering defendants admit the allegations contained therein.

VENUE

16. With regard to paragraph 16 of plaintiffs' amended complaint, answering defendants admit the allegations contained therein.

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

17. With regard to paragraph 17 of plaintiffs' amended complaint, answering defendants admit the allegations contained therein.

18. With regard to paragraph 18 of plaintiffs' amended complaint, answering defendants admit that Gary Knopp owned, controlled, maintained and operated a certain Piper PA-12 aircraft (N2587M). Answering defendants deny any further allegations contained therein.

19. With regard to paragraph 19 of plaintiffs' amended complaint, answering defendants admit that Gary Knopp owned and operated a for profit business doing business under the name "G & H Construction", performing general contractor work through out the Kenai Peninsula and elsewhere. Answering defendants deny any further allegations contained therein.

20. With regard to paragraph 20 of plaintiffs' amended complaint, answering defendants deny the allegations contained therein.

21. With regard to paragraph 21 of plaintiffs' amended complaint, answering defendants admit the allegations contained therein.

22. With regard to paragraph 22 of plaintiffs' amended complaint, answering defendants admit the allegations contained therein.

23. With regard to paragraph 23 of plaintiffs' amended complaint, answering defendants admit the allegations contained therein.

24. With regard to paragraph 24 of plaintiffs' amended complaint, answering defendants admit the allegations contained therein.

25. With regard to paragraph 25 of plaintiffs' amended complaint, answering defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and hereby deny the same.

26. With regard to paragraph 26 of plaintiffs' amended complaint, answering defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and hereby deny the same.

27. With regard to paragraph 27 of plaintiffs' amended complaint, answering defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and hereby deny the same.

28. With regard to paragraph 28 of plaintiffs' amended complaint, answering defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and hereby deny the same.

29. With regard to paragraph 29 of plaintiffs' amended complaint, answering defendants deny the allegations contained therein.

30. With regard to paragraph 30 of plaintiffs' amended complaint, answering defendants admit the allegations contained therein.

31. With regard to paragraph 31 of plaintiffs' amended complaint, answering defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and hereby deny the same.

32. With regard to paragraph 32 of plaintiffs' amended complaint, answering defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and hereby deny the same.

33. With regard to paragraph 33 of plaintiffs' amended complaint, answering defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and hereby deny the same.

July 31, 2020 Midair Collision

34. With regard to paragraph 34 of plaintiffs' amended complaint, answering defendants admit the allegations contained therein.

35. With regard to paragraph 35 of plaintiffs' amended complaint, answering defendants admit the allegations contained therein.

36. With regard to paragraph 36 of plaintiffs' amended complaint, answering defendants admit the allegations contained therein.

37. With regard to paragraph 37 of plaintiffs' amended complaint, answering defendants admit the allegations contained therein.

38. With regard to paragraph 38 of plaintiffs' amended complaint, answering defendants admit the allegations contained therein.

39. With regard to paragraph 39 of plaintiffs' amended complaint, answering defendants admit the allegations contained therein.

40. With regard to paragraph 40 of plaintiffs' amended complaint, answering defendants admit that plaintiffs' decedents died as a result of the mid-air collision. Answering defendants deny any further allegations contained therein.

COUNT I
Negligence-Survival Act
Estate of Gary Knopp

41. With regard to paragraph 41 of plaintiffs' amended complaint, answering defendants incorporate by reference their answers in paragraphs 1 – 40 as if fully set forth herein.

42. With regard to paragraph 42 of plaintiffs' amended complaint, the allegation is a legal conclusion and does not require an answer.

43. With regard to paragraph 43 of plaintiffs' amended complaint, answering defendants admit the allegations contained therein.

44. With regard to paragraph 44 of plaintiffs' amended complaint, answering defendants deny the allegations contained therein.

45. With regard to paragraph 45 of plaintiffs' amended complaint, answering defendants deny the allegations contained therein.

46. With regard to paragraph 46 of plaintiffs' amended complaint, the allegations are a legal conclusion and does not require an answer.

47. With regard to paragraph 47 of plaintiffs' amended complaint, answering defendants deny the allegations contained therein.

COUNT II
Negligence – Wrongful Death

48. With regard to paragraph 48 of plaintiffs' amended complaint, answering defendants incorporate by reference their answers in paragraphs 1 – 47 as if fully set forth herein.

49. With regard to paragraph 49 of plaintiffs' amended complaint, the allegation is a legal conclusion and does not require an answer.

50. With regard to paragraph 50 of plaintiffs' amended complaint, answering defendants deny the allegations contained therein.

COUNT III
Negligence – Survival Act
Helen Knopp

51. With regard to paragraph 51 of plaintiffs' amended complaint, answering defendants incorporate by reference their answers in paragraphs 1 – 50 as if fully set forth herein.

52. With regard to paragraph 52 of plaintiffs' amended complaint, answering defendants admit that at all material times Helen Knopp was the lawfully wedded wife of Gary Knopp residing together. Answering defendants deny any further allegations contained in paragraph 52.

53. With regard to paragraph 53 of plaintiffs' amended complaint, answering defendants deny the allegations contained therein.

54. With regard to paragraph 54 of plaintiffs' amended complaint, answering defendants deny the allegation contained therein.

55. With regard to paragraph 55 of plaintiffs' amended complaint, answering defendants deny the allegations contained therein.

56. With regard to paragraph 56 of plaintiffs' amended complaint, answering defendants deny the allegations contained therein.

57. With regard to paragraph 57 of plaintiffs' amended complaint, answering defendants deny the allegations contained therein.

58. With regard to paragraph 58 of plaintiffs' amended complaint, answering defendants deny the allegations contained therein.

59. With regard to paragraph 59 of plaintiffs' amended complaint, answering defendants deny the allegations contained therein.

60. With regard to paragraph 60 of plaintiffs' amended complaint, answering defendants deny the allegations contained therein.

61. With regard to paragraph 61 of plaintiffs' amended complaint, answering defendants deny the allegations contained therein.

COUNT IV
Negligence – Wrongful Death
Helen Knopp

62. With regard to paragraph 62 of plaintiffs' amended complaint, answering defendants incorporate by reference their answers in paragraphs 1 – 61 as if fully set forth herein.

63. With regard to paragraph 63 of plaintiffs' amended complaint, the allegation is a legal conclusion and does not require a response.

64. With regard to paragraph 64 of plaintiffs' amended complaint, answering defendants deny the allegations contained therein.

COUNT V
Negligence – Survival Act
High Adventure Air Charter, Inc.

65. With regard to paragraph 65 of plaintiffs' amended complaint, answering defendants incorporate by reference their answers in paragraphs 1 – 64 as if fully set forth herein.

66. With regard to paragraph 66 of plaintiffs' amended complaint, the allegation is a legal conclusion and does not require a response.

67. With regard to paragraph 67 of plaintiffs' amended complaint, answering defendants admit the allegations contained therein.

68. With regard to paragraph 68 of plaintiffs' amended complaint, answering defendants admit the allegations contained therein.

69. With regard to paragraph 69 of plaintiffs' amended complaint, answering defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein.

70. With regard to paragraph 70 of plaintiffs' amended complaint, the allegations are a legal conclusion and do not require a response.

71. With regard to paragraph 71 of plaintiffs' amended complaint, answering defendants admit that Plaintiffs' decedents died as a direct and proximate result of the mid-air collision. Answering defendants deny any further allegations contained therein.

COUNT VI

Negligence – Wrongful Death High Adventure Air Charter, Inc.

72. With regard to paragraph 72 of plaintiffs' amended complaint, answering defendants incorporate by reference their answers in paragraphs 1 – 71 as if fully set forth herein.

73. With regard to paragraph 73 of plaintiffs' amended complaint, the allegation is a legal conclusion and does not require a response.

74. With regard to paragraph 74 of plaintiffs' amended complaint, answering defendants admit that the Plaintiffs suffered damages as a direct and proximate result of the acts or omissions of Defendant, High Adventure Air Charter, Inc. Answering defendants deny any further allegations contained therein.

COUNT VII
Negligence – Survival Act
Soldotna Aircraft & Equipment Leasing, LLC

75. With regard to paragraph 75 of plaintiffs' amended complaint, the allegation does not require a response.

76. With regard to paragraph 76 of plaintiffs' amended complaint, answering defendants incorporate by reference their answers in paragraphs 1 – 74 as if fully set forth herein.

77. With regard to paragraph 77 of plaintiffs' amended complaint, answering defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein.

78. With regard to paragraph 78 of plaintiffs' amended complaint, answering defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein.

79. With regard to paragraph 79 of plaintiffs' amended complaint, answering defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein.

80. With regard to paragraph 80 of plaintiffs' amended complaint, answering defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein.

81. With regard to paragraph 81 of plaintiffs' amended complaint, answering defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein.

82. With regard to paragraph 82 of plaintiffs' amended complaint, answering defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein.

83. With regard to paragraph 83 of plaintiffs' amended complaint, the allegations are a legal conclusion and do not require a response.

84. With regard to paragraph 84 of plaintiffs' amended complaint, answering defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein.

COUNT VIII
Negligence – Wrongful Death
Soldotna Aircraft & Equipment Leasing, LLC

85. With regard to paragraph 85 of plaintiffs' amended complaint, answering defendants incorporate by reference their answers in paragraphs 1 – 84 as if fully set forth herein.

86. With regard to paragraph 86 of plaintiffs' amended complaint, the allegation is a legal conclusion and does not require a response.

87. With regard to paragraph 87 of plaintiffs' amended complaint, answering defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein.

COUNT IX
Negligence – Survival Act
Estate of Gregory Bell

88. With regard to paragraph 88 of plaintiffs' amended complaint, answering defendants incorporate by reference their answers in paragraphs 1 – 87 as if fully set forth herein.

89. With regard to paragraph 89 of plaintiffs' amended complaint, the allegation is a legal conclusion and does not require a response.

90. With regard to paragraph 90 of plaintiffs' amended complaint, answering defendants admits the allegations contained therein.

91. With regard to paragraph 91 of plaintiffs' amended complaint, answering defendants admits the allegations contained therein.

92. With regard to paragraph 92 of plaintiffs' amended complaint, answering defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein.

93. With regard to paragraph 93 of plaintiffs' amended complaint, the allegations are a legal conclusion and do not require a response.

94. With regard to paragraph 94 of plaintiffs' amended complaint, answering defendants admit that Plaintiffs' decedents died as a direct and proximate result of the mid-air collision. Answering defendants deny any further allegations contained therein.

COUNT X
Negligence – Wrongful Death
Estate of Gregory Bell

95. With regard to paragraph 95 of plaintiffs' amended complaint, answering defendants incorporate by reference their answers in paragraphs 1 – 95 as if fully set forth herein.

96. With regard to paragraph 96 of plaintiffs' amended complaint, the allegation is a legal conclusion and does not require a response.

97. With regard to paragraph 97 of plaintiffs' amended complaint, answering defendants admit that the Plaintiffs suffered damages as a direct and proximate result of the acts or omissions of decedent, Gregory Bell. Answering defendants deny any further allegations contained therein.

DAMAGES

98. With regard to paragraph 98 of plaintiffs' amended complaint, answering defendants admit that the damages, if any, will be in excess of \$75,000.

AFFIRMATIVE AND ADDITIONAL DEFENSES

By way of further answer and by way of further affirmative defenses, answering defendants allege as follows:

FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiffs' first amended complaint fail to state a claim for relief.

SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiffs' damages were caused, in whole or in part, by defendants, High Adventure Air Charter Guides and Outfitters, Inc., Soldotna Aircraft & Equipment Leasing, LLC, and the decedent Gregory Bell. Answering defendants are entitled to apportionment of damages under AS 09.17.080. Under AS 09.17.080, an equitable share of any damages awarded to Plaintiffs shall be apportioned between defendants.

THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Answering defendants reserve the right to assert whatever other affirmative defenses that may become available as discovery progresses.

CROSS COMPLAINT

COME NOW cross complainants, Helen Knopp, Individually and as the Personal Representative of the Estate of Gary Knopp, by and through Gilman & Pevehouse and hereby state and allege against cross defendants, High Adventure Air Charter Guides and Outfitters, Inc. and the Estate Of Gregory Bell, as follows:

99. Cross complainants, Helen Knopp ("Helen") is a resident of the State of Alaska and is the Personal Representative of the Estate of Gary Knopp ("Estate"). The Estate was created pursuant to the laws of the State of Alaska.

100. Cross defendant, High Adventure Air Charter Guides And Outfitters, Inc. is an Alaska corporation with its principal place of business in Alaska.

101. Cross defendant, Cindy Lynn Rainey-Bell is a resident of the State of Alaska and is the Personal Representative of the Estate of Gregory Bell. The Estate of Bell was created pursuant to the laws of the State of Alaska.

102. Plaintiffs' decedents Caleb Parker Hulsey, Mackay Alexander Hulsey, and William Alan Hulsey were residents of the State of South Carolina. William Alan Hulsey was appointed as Personal Representative of plaintiffs' estates.

103. Venue and jurisdiction are proper in the United States District Court for the State of Alaska.

I. GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

104. At all material times, the decedent, Gregory Bell, was acting as an owner, agent, employee, and/or servant of High Adventure Air Charter Guides And Outfitters, Inc. operating the de Havilland DHC-2 within the scope of his agency with High Adventure Air Charter Guides And Outfitters, Inc.

105. At all material times, the plaintiffs decedents, Caleb Parker Hulsey, Mackay Alexander Hulsey, and William Alan Hulsey, were passengers in a de Havilland DHC-2 Beaver piloted by decedent Gregory Bell within his scope of agency with High Adventure Air Charter Guides And Outfitters, Inc.

II. NEGLIGENCE AND APPORTIONMENT UNDER AS 09.17.080

106. Cross complainants incorporate by reference paragraphs 99-105 of this Cross Complaint as if fully set forth herein.

107. On July 31, 2020, High Adventure Air Charter Guides And Outfitters, Inc. and decedent Gregory Bell owed duties of care to operate in a reasonable safe manner the de Havilland DHC-2 Beaver which it was flying.

108. High Adventure Air Charter Guides And Outfitters, Inc. and decedent Gregory Bell acted in a negligent manner in the way it operated and maintained the de Havilland DHC-2 Beaver resulting in the midair collision taking place on July 31, 2020.

109. As a direct and proximate result of High Adventure Air Charter Guides And Outfitters, Inc.'s and decedent Gregory Bell's negligence, plaintiffs suffered damages.

110. Under AS 09.17.080, an equitable share of any damages awarded to plaintiffs shall be apportioned between cross complainants and cross defendants in accordance with their respective percentages of fault determined by the court or jury.

III. NEGLIGENCE – SURVIVAL ACT

111. Cross complainants incorporate by reference paragraphs 99 - 110 of this Cross Complaint as if fully set forth herein.

112. This Count is brought pursuant to Alaska Survival Act Statute, AK § 09.55.570.

113. On July 31, 2020, decedent Gregory Bell and cross defendant, High Adventure Air Charter Guides And Outfitters, Inc., owed duties of care under both Alaska law and federal law, including the Federal Aviation Regulations, to operate in a reasonable safe manner the de Havilland DHC-2 Beaver.

114. Cross defendants, High Adventure Air Charter Guides And Outfitters, Inc. and decedent Gregory Bell, acted in a negligent manner in the way it/he operated and maintained the de Havilland DHC-2 Beaver resulting in the midair collision taking place on July 31, 2020.

115. As a direct and proximate result of the negligence of cross defendants, decedent Gary Knopp suffered severe and ultimately fatal personal injuries and death, including but not limited to conscious pain and suffering, preimpact terror, and severe emotional distress.

116. Cross Complainants are only seeking the limits of the insurance protection of cross defendants. These limits are in excess of \$1,000,000.

IV. WRONGFUL DEATH

117. Cross Complainants incorporate by reference paragraphs 99-116 of this Cross Complaint as if fully set forth herein.

118. This Count is brought pursuant to Alaska's Wrongful Death Statute, AK § 09.55.580.

119. As a direct and proximate result of the negligence of the Cross defendants, the cross complainants suffered damages as established under the Alaska Wrongful Death Statute, including but not limited to pecuniary loss including the decedent's net lifetime earnings.

120. Cross complainants are only seeking the limits of the insurance protection of cross defendants. These limits are in excess of \$1,000,000.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, having answered the plaintiffs' complaint, answering defendants pray that the same be dismissed with prejudice; that plaintiffs take nothing from answering defendants;

FURTHER, if the court determines that plaintiffs are entitled to damages from answering defendants, answering defendants pray that these damages be apportioned between cross complainants and cross defendants in accordance with their respective percentages of fault.

FINALLY, cross complainants pray for judgment against cross defendants as follows:

1. For pecuniary and non-pecuniary damages;
2. For pre-death pain and suffering and emotional distress of decedent Gary Knopp;
3. For lost earnings;
4. For other economic and non-economic damages;
5. For attorneys' fees and costs; and
6. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem proper.

Dated this 14th day of April, 2021.

Gilman & Pevehouse
Attorneys for Plaintiff

/s/ Blaine D. Gilman

Blaine D. Gilman, ABA #: 8611112

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on the 14th day of April, 2021
a true and correct copy of the foregoing was
electronically served to the following counsel
of record:

Michael J. Schneider, Esq.
Law Office Of Michael J. Schneider, P.C.
mschneider@aktriallaw.com

Mary F. Schiavo, Esq.
James R. Brauchle, Esq.
mschiavo@motleyrice.com
jbrauchle@motleyrice.com

Brent R. Cole
Law Offices of Brent R. Cole
brent@woelbercole.com

/s/ Rebecca Gilman

Rebecca Gilman